## What is claimed is:

- 1. A method for evaluating a plurality of options comprising the steps of:
- a) selecting and accessing type 1 databases,  $DB_{i}^{1}$ , each of said selected databases  $DB_{i}^{1}$  including at least one option rating,  $OR_{i}(x,n)$ , for one of said options, x, with respect to a dimension n, where said option x can differ among said selected databases;
- b) selecting and accessing type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$ , each of said type 2 databases  $DB_{i}^{2}$  including at least one database rating  $DR_{i}(i)$  for at least one of said databases  $DB_{i}^{1}$ ;
- c) associating weights, W<sub>i</sub> with said databases DB<sup>1</sup><sub>i</sub>, said weights W<sub>i</sub> being calculated as a function of said database ratings DR<sub>i</sub>(i); and
- d) calculating an overall rating R(m,n) for an option m with respect to said dimension n as a function of said weights  $W_i$  and option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$ ;
- e) repeating step d for each remaining one of said options for which there exists at least one option rating with respect to said dimension n; and
- f) generating a list of said options and associated overall ratings with respect to dimension n.
- 2. A method as described in claim 1 where said function of said weights  $W_i$  and said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  is:

$$R(m,n) = \sum_{i} (W_i \cdot Norm(OR_i(m,n)) / \sum_{i} W_i;$$

- a) where Norm(OR<sub>i</sub>(m,n) is a normalization of said option ratings OR<sub>i</sub>(m,n), and
- b) summation  $\sum_i$  ranges over all of said type 1 databases  $DB^1_i$  for which said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  are defined.
- 3. A method as described in claim 2 where said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  are normalized with respect to a maximum rating  $OR_i(max)$  and a minimum satisfactory rating  $OR_i(sat)$  for each of said selected type 1 databases  $DB^1_i$ .
- 4. A method as described in claim 2 where, if said option rating  $OR_i(m,n)$  is less than said minimum satisfactory  $OR_i(sat)$ , said normalization,  $Norm(OR_i(m,n))$  is set equal to a

(I0018324.1)

predetermined value; said predetermined value being less than a normalized minimum satisfactory rating Norm(OR<sub>i</sub>(sat)).

5. A method as described in claim 2 where said function of said database ratings  $DR_j(i)$  is:

$$W_i = \sum_i (MW_i \cdot Norm(DR_i(i)) / \sum_i MW_i;$$

- a) where Norm(DR<sub>i</sub>(i)) is a normalization of said database ratings DR<sub>i</sub>(i), and
- b) summation  $\sum_{j}$  ranges over all of said type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$  for which said option ratings  $DR_{i}(i)$  are defined; and
  - c) MW<sub>j</sub> are master weights associated with said type 2 databases DB<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub>.
- 6. A method as described in claim 5 where said database ratings  $DR_j^2$  are normalized with respect to a maximum rating  $DR_j(max)$  and a minimum satisfactory rating  $DR_j(sat)$  for each of said selected type 2 databases  $DB_j^2$ .
- 7. A method as described in claim 6 where, if one of said weights W<sub>i</sub> is less than 0, said one weight is set equal to 0.
- 8. A method as described in claim 5 further comprising the step of adjusting said master weights MW<sub>i</sub> based on a user's evaluation of said list.
- 9. A method as described in claim 8 where said adjusting step comprises the steps of:
  - a) said user identifying a selected choice m';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j)/\partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm'n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m',n) from the mean rating,  $\Sigma_m R(m,n)/M$  as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ , where M is the total number of options for which R(m,n') is defined;
  - c) setting  $MW_j' = MW_j'(1 + \alpha P(MW_j'))$ , where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>j</sub>.
- 10. A method as described in claim 8 where said adjusting step comprises the steps of:

- a) said user identifying a selected choice m';
- b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j) / \partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm'n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m',n) from the maximum rating, max(R(m,n)) as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ ;
- c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
- d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>j</sub>.
- 11. A method as described in claim 1 where said options are rated with respect to a plurality of dimensions, comprising the further step of repeating steps d and e for each remaining one of said dimensions.
- 12. A method as described in claim 11 further comprising the step of adjusting said master weights MW<sub>i</sub> based on a user's evaluation of said list.
- 13. A method as described in claim 12 where said adjusting step comprises the steps of:
  - a) said user identifying a selected choice m' and a critical dimension n';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j)/\partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm', n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m', n') from the mean rating,  $\Sigma_m R(m, n')/M$ , along said critical dimension n', as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ , where M is the total number of options for which R(m, n') is defined;
  - c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights  $MW_{j\cdot}$
- 14. A method as described in claim 12 where said adjusting step comprises the steps of:
  - a) said user identifying a selected choice m';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j) / \partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm'n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m',n) from the maximum rating, max(R(m,n)) as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ ;
  - c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>i</sub>.

- 15. A data processing system, said data processing system being programmed to:
  - a) select and access type 1 databases,  $DB_i^1$ , each of said selected databases  $DB_i^1$  including at least one option rating,  $OR_i(x,n)$ , for one of said options, x, with respect to a dimension n, where said option x can differ among said selected databases;
  - b) select and access type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$ , each of said type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$  including at least one database rating  $DR_{j}(i)$  for at least one of said databases  $DB_{i}^{1}$ ;
  - c) associate weights,  $W_i$  with said databases  $DB^1_i$ , said weights  $W_i$  being calculated as a function of said database ratings  $DR_j(i)$ ; and
  - d) calculate an overall rating R(m,n) for an option m with respect to said dimension n as a function of said weights  $W_i$  and option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$ ;
  - e) repeat d for each remaining one of said options for which there exists at least one option rating with respect to said dimension n; and
  - f) generate a list of said options and associated overall ratings with respect to dimension n.
- 16. A system as described in claim 15 where said system is programmed to calculate said function of said weights  $W_i$  and said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  as:

$$R(m,n) = \sum_{i} (W_i \cdot Norm(OR_i(m,n)) / \sum_{i} W_i;$$

- a) where Norm(ORi(m,n) is a normalization of said option ratings OR<sub>i</sub>(m,n), and
- b) summation  $\sum_i$  ranges over all of said type 1 databases  $DB^1_i$  for which said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  are defined.
- 17. A system as described in claim 16 where said system is programmed to normalize said option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$  with respect to a maximum rating  $OR_i(max)$  and a minimum satisfactory rating  $OR_i(sat)$  for each of said selected type 1 databases  $DB_i^1$ .
- 18. A system as described in claim 16 where said system is further programmed to, if said option rating  $OR_i(m,n)$  is less than said minimum satisfactory  $OR_i(sat)$ , set said

(10018324.1)

normalization, Norm( $OR_i(m,n)$ ) equal to a predetermined value; said predetermined value being less than a normalized minimum satisfactory rating Norm( $OR_i(sat)$ ).

19. A system as described in claim 16 where said system is programmed to calculate said function of said database ratings DR<sub>i</sub>(i) as:

$$W_i = \sum_i (MW_i \cdot Norm(DR_i(i)) / \sum_i MW_i;$$

- a) where Norm(DR<sub>i</sub>(i)) is a normalization of said database ratings DR<sub>i</sub>(i), and
- b) summation  $\sum_{j}$  ranges over all of said type 2 databases  $DB^{2}_{j}$  for which said option ratings  $DR_{j}(i)$  are defined; and
- c) MW<sub>j</sub> are master weights associated with said type 2 databases DB<sup>2</sup><sub>j</sub>.
- 20. A system as described in claim 19 where said system is programmed to normalize said database ratings  $DR_j^2$  with respect to a maximum rating  $DR_j(max)$  and a minimum satisfactory rating  $DR_j(sat)$  for each of said selected type 2 databases  $DB_j^2$ .
- 21. A system as described in claim 20 where said system is further programmed to, if one of said weights W<sub>i</sub> is less than 0, set said one weight equal to 0.
- 22. A system as described in claim 19 where said system is further programmed to adjust said master weights MW<sub>i</sub> based on a user's evaluation of said list.
- 23. A system as described in claim 22 where said system is programmed to adjust said master weights MW<sub>i</sub> by:
  - a) identifying said user's selected choice m';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j)/\partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm', n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m', n) from the mean rating,  $\Sigma_m R(m, n)/M$  as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ , where M is the total number of options for which R(m, n') is defined;
  - c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating b and c for all remaining master weights MWi.

(10018324.1)

- 24. A system as described in claim 22 where said adjusting step comprises the steps of:
  - a) said user identifying a selected choice m';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j) / \partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm'n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m',n) from the maximum rating, max(R(m,n)) as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ ;
  - c) setting  $MW_i' = MW_i'(1 + \alpha P(MW_i'))$ , where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>i</sub>.
- 25. A system as described in claim 23 where said system is programmed to rate said options with respect to a plurality of dimensions and to repeat d and e for each remaining one of said dimensions.
- 26. A system as described in claim 25 where said system is further programmed to adjust said master weights MW<sub>i</sub> based on a user's evaluation of said list.
- 27. A system as described in claim 26 where said system is programmed to adjust said master weights MW<sub>j</sub> by:
  - a) said user identifying said user selected choice m' and a critical dimension n';
  - b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j)/\partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm', n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m', n') from the mean rating,  $\Sigma_m R(m, n')/M$ , along said critical dimension n', as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ , where M is the total number of options for which R(m, n') is defined;
  - c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
  - d) repeating b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>j</sub>.
- 28. A system as described in claim 26 where said system is programmed to adjust said master weights MW<sub>j</sub> by::
  - a) said user identifying a selected choice m';

(I0018324.1)

- b) calculating a partial derivative  $P(MW_j') = \partial Fm', n'(MW_j)/\partial MW_j'$ ; where  $Fm'n'(MW_j)$  is the deviation of option rating R(m',n) from the maximum rating, max(R(m,n)) as a function of master weights  $MW_j$ ;
- c) setting  $MW_j$ ' =  $MW_j$ '(1 +  $\alpha P(MW_j$ ')), where  $\alpha$  is a small positive number; and
- d) repeating steps b and c for all remaining master weights MW<sub>i</sub>.
- 29. A computer readable medium for providing instructions to a data processing system, said instructions controlling said data processing system to:
  - a) select and access type 1 databases, DB<sup>1</sup><sub>i</sub>, each of said selected databases DB<sup>1</sup><sub>i</sub> including at least one option rating, OR<sub>i</sub>(x,n), for one of said options, x, with respect to a dimension n, where said option x can differ among said selected databases;
  - b) select and access type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$ , each of said type 2 databases  $DB_{j}^{2}$  including at least one database rating  $DR_{j}(i)$  for at least one of said databases  $DB_{j}^{1}$ .
  - c) associate weights, W<sub>i</sub> with said databases DB<sup>1</sup><sub>i</sub>, said weights W<sub>i</sub> being calculated as a function of said database ratings DR<sub>i</sub>(i); and
  - d) calculate an overall rating R(m,n) for an option m with respect to said dimension n as a function of said weights  $W_i$  and option ratings  $OR_i(m,n)$ ;
  - e) repeat d for each remaining one of said options for which there exists at least one option rating with respect to said dimension n; and
  - f) generate a list of said options and associated overall ratings with respect to dimension n.

(10018324.1)